

HON'BLE SRI JUSTICE R. SUBHASH REDDY

W.P.NO. 26702 of 2007

DATED: 14.12.2007

-

-

Between:

Ekasila Nagar Plot Owners Association

... Petitioner

and

The District Collector, Ranga Reddy
District and others

... Respondents

HON'BLE SRI JUSTICE R. SUBHASH REDDY

W.P.NO. 26702 of 2007

ORDER:

-

In this writ petition, the petitioner seeks directions by way of Mandamus to direct the respondents 1 and 2 to conduct survey, on the representation made by it, and to declare Plot Nos. 1699 part, 1700 part admeasuring 1009 sq.yds, 1700/2/A admeasuring 302 sq.yds and other plots to be not at all forming part of the Ekashila Nagar layout.

It is the grievance of the petitioner-association that in an extent of Ac.149 covered by Sy.Nos. 739 to 749 of Korremula Village, Ghatkesar Mandal, Ranga Reddy District, the petitioner-association has obtained approval of layout and by dividing the said land into plots numbering from Plot No.1 to Plot No.2109, with an area of 200 to 300 sq.yards, the same were allotted in favour of individual members. While so, the respondents 3 and 4, who claim to be the plot holders of Ekashila Nagar Colony, are submitting the documents for registration in favour of third parties. It is submitted that though they made a representation to the 2nd

respondent-District Panchayat Officer, no action is being taken.

Even taking the complaint as it is, there is no violation of any of the provisions of the A.P. Panchayat Raj Act, 1994 (for short 'the Act') and the Rules made thereunder. Even according to the complaint of the petitioner, it is purely a civil dispute arising between the petitioner-society and the respondents 3 and 4. In absence of any complaint with regard to the violation of the provisions of the Act and the Rules thereunder, the respondents 1 and 2 lack jurisdiction to inquire on such aspects with regard to *inter se* disputes between the petitioner-society and the respondents 3 and 4.

This writ petition, filed with a totally misconceived prayer, is devoid of merits and is accordingly dismissed at the threshold. No costs.

R. SUBHASH REDDY,J

Date:14.12.2007
cvm

